

The new tool that I tried using for the first time to make my research more far reaching was the Cited by feature. When I was reading about tracking where certain articles had been cited in other research papers, I decided to try a new search engine. Instead of using the Syracuse Library Database, I started to try out Google Scholar. I entered “sexism in healthcare as a preliminary search and decided to look at an article titled *Risk, Uncertainty, and Gender Stereotypes in Healthcare Decisions*. From this point I looked at the 18 articles that cited this one and found *Gender disparities in clinical practice: are there any solutions? Scoping review of interventions to overcome or reduce gender bias in clinical practice*. I really liked the idea of going through the other people who cited an article I found important because it showed me all the different ways people thought to incorporate the information into their own research. This article is very informative for my research because it really concentrates on working towards eliminating gender biases in clinical practices which fits in well with some of my other sources.

Alcalde-Rubio, L., Hernández-Aguado, I., Parker, L.A. *et al.* Gender disparities in clinical practice: are there any solutions? Scoping review of interventions to overcome or reduce gender bias in clinical practice. *Int J Equity Health* 19, 166 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-020-01283-4>

The other tool I decided to try out was backlink searching. I personally did not like this at all. I went to the link that you included on the helpful links tab, but when I kept adding domains to the search bar, all the links it gave were strange. They were either completely unrelated, in a different language, or just unusable. Even though I kept trying with different types of media the searches were not working out for me, so I did not obtain anything helpful through the backlink search tool.

I still wanted to find one more source using these new methods for research, so I chose to pursue the subject searching tool. I picked an article that I previously annotated titled *'I wouldn't say it's sexism, except that... It's all these little subtle things': Healthcare scientists' accounts of gender in healthcare science laboratories*. Looking through this article, I did what the instructions for subject searching said and chose the keywords feminist research and healthcare. After using these keywords in an advanced search, I found an article titled *A Qualitative Gender Analysis of Women Field Guides' Experiences in Outdoor Behavioral Healthcare: A Feminist Social Work Perspective*. I thought this article was interesting but the outdoor wilderness therapy aspect of women in healthcare strayed a little too far from my main idea for my research so I am not sure I am going to incorporate it. I am glad that I tried this method, however, because in a recent blog post I said that the advanced search was a little intimidating and this made me try it.

Karoff MQ, Norton CL, Tucker AR, Gass MA, Foerster E. A Qualitative Gender Analysis of Women Field Guides' Experiences in Outdoor Behavioral Healthcare: A Feminist Social Work Perspective. *Affilia*. 2019;34(1):48-64. doi:[10.1177/0886109918790932](https://doi.org/10.1177/0886109918790932)